ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - <u>OA 914 OF 2017</u>

Bir Bahadur Ram <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
	For the Applicant : Mr. D.K. Mukherjee,	
02 04.05.2018	Learned Advocate.	
04.05.2018	For the Respondents : Mrs. M. Mallick, Learned Advocate.	
	The applicant has prayed for quashing of letter	
	dated July 11, 2014 issued by the Director of Health	
	Services, West Bengal, by which prayer of the applicant	
	for compassionate appointment was rejected.	
	It appears from the materials on record that one	
	Dhanu Ram died on March 28, 2006 while he was	
	working as Group-C employee at SSKM Hospital.	
	Dhanu Ram died leaving behind his wife and three	
	children including the applicant as legal heirs. The	
	applicant submitted application for compassionate	
	appointment which was considered by the respondents	
	and ultimately the claim of the applicant for	
	compassionate appointment was rejected. It appears	
	from the impugned letter dated July 11, 2014 issued by	
	the Director of Health Services, West Bengal that the	
	claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment	
	was rejected on the ground that the applicant	
	submitted application in the prescribed format after	
	lapse of almost 6 (six) years from the date of death of	
	his father.	

Bir Bahadur Ram

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Learned Counsel for the applicant contends that the respondent Superintendent-cum-Vice Principal, SSKM Hospital did not supply the prescribed format to the applicant and as such there was delay in submitting the application in the prescribed format. He further submits that the application in prescribed format was submitted on August 9, 2010, but copy of the said application is not forthcoming before the Tribunal. No cogent explanation is forthcoming as to why delay took place for submission of application in the prescribed format for compassionate appointment. Since the applicant waited for 6 (six) years for submission of application for compassionate appointment, we can very well infer that there was no immediate financial crisis in the family of the deceased employee for giving compassionate appointment to the That apart, the applicant has challenged applicant. the order of rejection communicated under letter dated July 11, 2014 (Annexure-T to the original application) by filing Original Application on September 21, 2017. So, the applicant has challenged the order of rejection claim of the applicant for of compassionate appointment after almost 3 (three) years without filing any application for condonation of delay and without explaining delay of almost 2 (two) years in approaching the Tribunal for challenging the order of rejection. The present application is, thus, barred under Section 21 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

Case No. OA 914 OF 2017

Form No.

ORDER SHEET

Bir Bahadur Ram

••••

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

	In view of our above findings, we cannot persuade	
	ourselves to give any relief to the applicant in the	
	instant application. So, the original application is	
	dismissed.	
	Let a plain copy of this order be supplied to both	
	the parties.	
	(S.K. DAS) MEMBER(A) (R. K. BAG) MEMBER (J)	
Contil		
Sanjib		

Case No. OA 914 OF 2017

Form No.